S 333.955 E30aifs 2002



# AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES AND HABITAT AT A FIXED STATION ON THE TETON RIVER, CHOTEAU COUNTY, MONTANA

July 9, 2001

A report to the Montana Department of Environmental Quality Helena, Montana



by Wease Bollman Rhithron Associates, Inc. Missoula, Montana May 2002

### INTRODUCTION

This report is one of 38 brief interpretive summaries of data assembled as part of a statewide, multi-vear study conducted by the Montana Department of Environmental Ouality (MT DEO). Each report discusses information generated from a single benthic invertebrate sample collection and habitat evaluation at a fixed station established on a gauged river or high-order tributary. The present treatise focuses on the aquatic community sampled on the Teton River near Loma, Montana on August 7, 2001. The sample site was located by GPS reading at 47° 55' 53" N. 110° 30' 34" W, lying within the Northwestern Glaciated Plains Ecoregion (Woods et al. 1998). The sample was collected by personnel of MT DEQ. Sampling effort consisted of either a composite of four Hess samples, or a one-minute kicknet collection (Bukantis 1998). Habitat parameters were evaluated using the MT DEO Macroinvertebrate Habitat Assessment Field Form for streams with glide/pool prevalence. Invertebrate samples were processed and animals identified by Rhithron Associates. Inc. Analysis of invertebrate assemblages was accomplished by applying the method recommended by Bukantis (1998) for streams of Montana's Plains ecoregions. The method uses a multimetric battery to evaluate disturbance to biotic integrity. Results from the application of other metric batteries may be found in the Appendix.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 itemizes the evaluated habitat parameters and shows the assigned scores for each, as well as the integrated score and condition category.

Overall habitat conditions scored sub-optimally at this site on the Teton River. Field notes reported that flow was negligible. The channel was less sinuous than expected. Instream habitat parameters were mostly judged optimal, but substrate suitable for colonization was perceived to be somewhat limited. Streambanks were moderately stable, and the riparian zone was abbreviated on one side of the channel.

Max. possible score	Parameter	Teton River near Loma
20	Bottom substrate	14
20	Pool substrate char.	17
20	Pool variability	18
20	Channel alteration	19
20	Sediment deposition	17
20	Channel sinuosity	8
20	Channel flow status	0
20	Bank vegetation	9/9
20	Bank stability	6 / 8
20	Vegetated zone	9 / 4
200	Total	138
	Percent of maximum CONDITION*	69 SUB-OPTIMAL

**Table 1.** Stream and riparian habitat assessment for a fixed station on the Teton River.July, 2000.

\*Condition categories: Optimal (OPT) > 80% of maximum score; Sub-optimal (SUB) : 75 - 56%: Marginal (MARG) 49 - 29%; Poor <23%. Adapted from Plafkin et al. 1998. **Table 2.** Metric values, scores, and bioassessment for a fixed station on the Teton River. The Montana DEQ bioassessment metric battery recommended for streams of the Plains ecoregions (Bukantis 1998) was used for the evaluation. July 2001.

	Teton River near Loma				
METRICS	METRIC VALUES	METRIC SCORES			
Taxa richness	33	3			
EPT richness	8	2			
Biotic index	6.10	1			
% Dominant taxon	32.9	2			
% Collectors	51.6	3			
% EPT	14.9	1			
Shannon diversity	3.15	3			
% Scrapers and Shredders	15.6	2			
Predator taxa	8	3			
% Multivoltine	36.4	3			
	TOTAL SCORE (max.=30)	23			
	PERCENT OF MAX.	77			
	Impairment classification	SLIGHT			
	USE SUPPORT	FULL			

Bioassessment results are given in Table 2. When this bioassessment method is applied to these data, scores indicate that this site on the Teton River is slightly impaired but fully supports designated uses.

Although the biotic index value (6.10) was high compared to expectations for streams of the Plains Ecoregions, the presence of 7 mayfly taxa suggest that water quality at this site was good. Water temperature measured at the time of sampling was 29.6°C, a figure more than 10°C higher than the mean temperature of the Plains Ecoregions sites visited for the fixed stations study. Many of the taxa collected at the site, such as the mayflies *Caenis latipennis*, *Hexagenia limbata*, and *Choroterpes* sp., prefer warm water. The dominance of taxa associated with warm temperatures likely account for the high biotic index value.

The presence of several hemoglobin-bearing midges, including *Chironomus* sp., *Dicrotendipes* sp., and others suggest that anoxic conditions were present in the sediments at this site. Ample soft sediment habitats were likely available, since these midges, along with the burrowing mayfly *Hexagenia limbata*, require these niches. Habitat complexity was probably enhanced by the presence of macrophytes, since *Caenis latipennis* and the corixids are typically oriented to aquatic plants. The rich fauna (33 taxa) and the abundance of predators (31 animals in 8 taxa) suggest that habitats were diverse and plentiful. All expected functional components of a healthy riverine system were present in the sample.

# CONCLUSIONS

- Warm water temperatures exert a great deal of influence over the taxonomic composition of the benthic fauna. In other ways, water quality is probably good at this site.
- High taxa richness suggests good habitat complexity and availability.

# LITERATURE CITED

Bukantis, R. 1998. Rapid bioassessment macroinvertebrate protocols: Sampling and sample analysis SOP's. Working draft, April 22, 1997. Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Planning Prevention and Assistance Division. Helena, Montana.

Woods, A.J., Omernik, J. M. Nesser, J.A., Shelden, J., and Azevedo, S. H. 1999. Ecoregions of Montana, (Color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs) Reston, Virginia. US Geological Survey.

# APPENDIX

Taxonomic data and summaries

**Teton River** 

August 2001

### Aquatic Invertebrate Taxonomic Data

Site Name: Teton River near Loma	Date: 7/09/01			
Site ID: M18TETOR01	Approx. percent of sample used, 100			
Taxon	Quantity	Percent	HBI	FFG
Prostoma sp.	5	1.73		PR
Nematoda	1	0.35	11	PA
Nais variabilis	13	4.50	10	CG
Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri	5	1.73	10	CG
Physidae	35	12.11	8	SC
Pacifasticus lenusculus	1	0.35	6	SH
Total Misc. Taxa	60	20.76		
Aeshna sp.	]	0.35	5	PR
Total Odonata	1	0.35		
Acentrella turbida	<u>l</u>	0.35	4	CG
Centroptilum sp	2	0.69	2	CG
Caenis latipennis	1	0.35	7	CG
Hexagenia limbata	2	0.69	6	CG
Leucrocuta sp.	8	2.77	4	SC
Choroterpes sp.	15	5.19	2	CG
Tricorythodes minutus	3	1.04	4	CG
Total Ephemeroptera	32	11.07		
Corixidae - immature	10	3.46	10	UN
Sigara decoratella	10	3.46	5	PH
Sigara grossolineata	28	9.69	5	PH
Total Hemiptera	-48	16.61		
Hydroptila sp.	11	3.81	6	PH
Total Trichoptera	11	3.81		
Laccophilus sp.	2	0.69	5	PR
Ordobrevia sp.	I	0.35	5	CG
Haliplus sp.	1	0.35	5	PH
Helophorus sp.	1	0.35	5	SH
Berosus sp.	4	1.38	5	PR
Lacobius	1	0.35	5	PR
Total Coleoptera	10	3.46		
Ceratopogoninae	2	0.69	6	PR
Total Diptera	2	0.69		
Ablabesmyia sp.	8	2.77	8	CG
Chironomus sp.	1	0.35	10	CG
Cryptochironomus sp.	2	0.69	8	PR
Dicrotendipes sp.	1	0.35	8	CG
Microtendipes sp.	Ι	0.35	6	CF
Paratanytarsus sp.	3	1.04	6	UN
Tanytarsus sp.	95	32.87	6	CF
Thienemannimyia Gr.	14	4.84	5	PR
Total Chironomidae	125	43.25		
Grand	Total 289	100.00		

## Aquatic Invertebrate Summary

Site Name: Teton River near Loma		ate: 7/09/01
SAMPLE TOTAL	289	
EPT abundance	43	
TAXA RICHNESS	33	
Number EPT taxa	8	
Percent EPT	14.88	

#### TAXONOMIC COMPOSITION

GROUP	PERCENT	#TAXA	ABUNDANCE	
Misc Taxa	20 76	6	60	
Odonata	0.35	1	1	
Ephemeroptera	11 07	7	32	
Plecoptera	0.00	0	0	
Hemiptera	16.61	3	48	
Megaloptera	0.00	0	D	
Trichoptera	3 81	1	11	
Lepidoptera	0.00	0	0	
Coleoptera	3 46	6	10	
Diptera	0.69	1	2	
Chironomidae	43 25	8	125	
				<ul> <li>Misc. Taxa</li> <li>Odonata</li> </ul>
	Manufacture and the state of th	100 100	the second state of the second se	i 🖾 Enhemeroni



#### FUNCTIONAL COMPOSITION

GROUP	PERCENT	#TAXA	ABUNDANCE
Predator	10.73	8	31
Parasite	035	1	1
Gatherer	18.34	12	53
Filterer	33 22	2	96
Herbivore	0.00	0	0
Piercer	17 30	4	50
Scraper	14.88	2	43
Shredder	0.69	2	2
Xylophage	0.00	0	0
Omnivore	0.00	0	0
Unknown	4 50	2	13



### COMMUNITY TOLERANCES

Sediment tolerant taxa	3
Percent sediment tolerant	14.88
Sediment sensitive taxa	0
Percent sediment sensitive	0.00
Metals tolerance index (McGuire)	3 19
Cold stenotherm taxa	0
Percent cold stenotherms	0.00

Site ID: MISTE	TOR01			
TAYON		ABUNDANCE	DEDCENT	
TAXON		ABUNDANCE	PERCENT	
Dhuaidea		93	32 87	
Physicae	-	32	1411	
Sigura grossouneau	a	40	9.69	
Thionomous and G		1.5	1 6 4	
SUBTOTAL SDON	II ATNIA NITS	14	64 71	
Nau variabilir	111/2013	107	150	
Hudropula sp		11	3.91	
Convidante aprilia	a.	10	10 C	
Swara decoratella		10	3.46	
Leucroculasp		8	2 77	
TOTAL DOMINAN	ITS	239	82 70	
SAPROBITY	da		6.10	
Hilsennon Biolic in	aex.		610	
DIVERSITY Shannon H (loge)			219	
Shannon H (log2)			3 15	
Simpson D			0.12	
VOLTINISM				
TYPE		ABUNDANCE	PERCENT	
Multivoltine		105	36.42	
Univoltine		166	57 35	
Semivoltine		13	4 50	
TAXA CHARACT	ERS			
	#ΤΑΧΑ	ABUNDANCE	PERCENT	
Tolerant	12	78	26.99	
Intolerant	0	0	0.00	
Clinger	4	115	39.79	
BIOASSESSMEN	T INDICES	5		
METRIC	VALUE		SCOPE	
Taxa richnor	12		JCORE	
E nehnees	7		3	
D richness	0		1	
Trichness	1		1	
Longalmed	6		<	
Sensitive poblacio	0		1	
etolerant	26.00		3	
%predators	10 73		2	
Clinget trebases	1075		1	
%dominance (3)	54.67		3	
/oddminiance (5)	5407	TOTAL SCORE	24	
MONTANA DEQ 1	METRICS (	Bukantis 1998)	Valievs and	Mountair
METRIC	VALUE	Plans Ecoregions	Footballs	Ecoregoor
Taxa richness	33	3	3	3
EPT richness	8	2	0	0
Biotic Index	610	1	0	0
%Dominant taxon	3287	2	2	2
%Collectors	51 56	3	3	3
%EPT	14 88	1	0	D
Shannon Diversity	3 1 5	3		
%Scrapers +Shredd	15 57	2	1	0

0 3 Predator taxa 8 %Multivoltine 36 42 3 %H of T 0 3 TOTAL SCORES 23 12 8 PERCENT OF MAXIMUM IMPAIRMENT CLASS 76 67 50.00 38 10 MODERATE MODERATE SLIGHT

#### Montana DEQ metric batteries

48 %

